



Optimizing Hearing for Listeners with a Cochlear Implant and Contralateral Hearing Aid

Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal Fitting Formula

With the introduction of the Phonak Naída™ Link hearing aid, a new era in bimodal hearing has begun. Unilateral Advanced Bionics (AB) Naída cochlear implant recipients who can use a contralateral hearing aid will have access to (1) technologies that have been designed specifically to work together and (2) the listening advantages of Binaural VoiceStream Technology™.

A new Phonak prescriptive fitting formula has been developed to align the frequency response, loudness growth functions, and automatic gain control (AGC) characteristics between the Naída CI processor and the Naída Link. The result is to provide the best hearing experience for AB bimodal listeners while at the same time making it easy for audiologists to program the Naída Link.

Why a new prescriptive fitting formula?

First, combined electric and contralateral acoustic stimulation provides substantial benefits to bimodal listeners, including better speech understanding in noise and voice pitch perception, enhanced localization abilities, and improved music perception.¹⁻³ However, conventional hearing aid prescriptions (e.g., NAL-NL2, DSL v5) do not align acoustic and electric processing, which is required for optimal bimodal hearing. For example, traditional fitting prescriptions focus amplification in frequency regions that are important for speech understanding (1 – 4 kHz), whereas low frequencies (250 – 750 Hz) may be most important to maximize bimodal benefit.⁴ Moreover, low compression kneepoints (CK < 50 dB SPL) and moderate compression ratios (CR ~ 2:1) are usually prescribed for hearing aids, while cochlear implants use very different input/output functions (e.g., Naída CI: CK = 63 dB, CR = 12:1). Finally, the dynamic behavior of AGC systems differs substantially between devices. Hearing aids typically

implement syllabic compression (attack/release time < 50 ms), whereas cochlear implants use slow-acting automatic volume control (attack/release > 1 sec). Thus, using traditional fitting prescriptions for bimodal fitting may cause misaligned frequency response, loudness growth, and dynamic behavior.

Second, fitting a hearing aid to work with a cochlear implant can be a time-consuming iterative procedure.⁵ Thus, the audiologist must have expertise in fitting software for both hearing aids and cochlear implants, and may spend a considerable amount of time fitting both devices.

Therefore, a prescriptive hearing aid fitting formula has been developed to:

- Optimize hearing for AB bimodal listeners
- Make it easy and efficient for audiologists to fit the Naída Link.

How does the new fitting formula work?

Because the cochlear implant is the dominant provider of sound information to most bimodal listeners, the overall design goal has been to align the hearing aid toward the cochlear implant. Specifically, modifications to a standard hearing aid prescription are implemented to align frequency response, loudness growth, and dynamic behavior between the two devices. The resulting fitting formula is termed Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal.

The Adaptive Phonak Digital fitting formula is used as a starting point because this formula is used commonly in clinical practice for severe and profound hearing losses.⁶ Based upon the Adaptive Phonak Digital fitting formula, three modifications are made (Figure 1).

First, the frequency response is aligned by optimizing low-frequency gain and bandwidth. Low-frequency gain optimization uses the model of effective audibility⁷ to ensure audibility of cues that contribute to speech understanding even in relatively quiet environments (55 dB SPL). Depending upon the configuration of the audiogram, this step often results in a gain increase below 1 kHz. This gain increase is limited to make sure that speech at 65 dB SPL does not exceed the most comfortable level. Note that for certain hearing loss configurations (reversed, mild-to-moderate sloping, and flat losses), this gain increase will not be applied. Bandwidth is optimized by ensuring that (1) bandwidth is as wide as possible⁸, (2) frequencies between 250 and 750 are audible⁴, and (3) amplification does not extend into dead regions⁹.

Second, loudness growth is aligned by implementing the input-output function of the cochlear implant in the hearing aid (CK = 63 dB SPL, CR = 12:1). And third, the dynamic compression behavior is aligned by porting the Naída CI dual-loop AGC into the hearing aid.¹⁰ All three modifications were verified and optimized in a series of experiments with bimodal listeners (see Call Out box).




Figure 1. Calculation steps for the Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal fitting formula.

A comparison of the Adaptive Phonak Digital (APD) and Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal (APDB) fitting results is shown in Figure 2 for a sloping and a flat hearing loss. There

are almost no differences in frequency response between the two formulae for the flat audiogram. In contrast, the bandwidth is limited by APDB for the sloping audiogram because a dead region was identified. Alignment of loudness growth is reflected in the fact that output curves for speech with 65 dB SPL and 80 dB are very close for APDB, whereas APD prescribes clearly different output.





Figure 2. Comparison of Adaptive Phonak Digital (thin curves) and Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal (bold curves) for a sloping hearing loss (top) and a flat hearing loss (bottom).

What steps are required to program the Naída Link?

Programming a Naída™ Link with Phonak Target programming software is easy. To take advantage of the aligned frequency response, loudness growth, and dynamic behavior, the fitter only needs to connect a Naída Link to Target. By default APDB is selected and will offer these benefits to bimodal listeners.

Conclusion

A new prescriptive Adaptive Phonak Digital Bimodal (APDB) fitting formula optimizes hearing for AB bimodal listeners by (1) aligning the frequency response of the Naída™ Link to the acoustic-hearing ear, (2) aligning the loudness growth between the Naída CI processor and the Naída Link, and (3) synchronizing


the dynamic behavior between the two devices. In most cases, optimum bandwidth and balanced loudness is achieved automatically when using the APDB fitting formula. APDB is implemented in the Phonak Target software so that the Naída Link can be programmed using simple, easy-to-execute steps.

VERIFICATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF THE APDB FITTING FORMULA

Because bimodal listeners are not homogeneous, a series of studies were conducted to determine which hearing aid program modifications should be applied depending upon hearing loss and hearing aid configuration. Experiments were conducted at seven research sites in Belgium, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United States. Participant audiograms ranged from profound hearing loss (little aidable hearing at 125 and 250 Hz) to flat and sloping moderate losses (aidable hearing up to 4 kHz). Outcome measures included speech understanding in noise, sound quality ratings, and subjective preference. A randomized crossover design was applied whenever possible to evaluate the effect of bimodal fitting modifications both acutely and chronically. Results from three of these studies are summarized here.

Frequency Response Alignment: Study 1 (Advanced Bionics LLC, Valencia, USA, Chalupper et al. 2013)


Seven experienced bimodal subjects (aidable hearing < 750 Hz) were tested in noise (AzBio sentences at signal-to-noise ratios that resulted in scores 50% of their scores in quiet using the implant alone) using their own hearing aid program, and a hearing aid programmed with APD, NAL-RP, a bimodal formula with aligned frequency response, and a bimodal formula with aligned frequency response using the result of the TEN-Test to identify dead regions. Best sentence scores in noise were seen with the bimodal formula without the TEN-Test. The bimodal formula outperformed both APD and NAL-RP. Administering the TEN-Test to assess dead regions did not further improve bimodal benefit because the APDB correctly identified dead regions based on the audiogram.



Frequency Response Alignment: Study 2 (EarGroup, Belgium, Govaerts et al. 2014)


Ten experienced bimodal subjects (aidable hearing < 1.5 kHz) were tested bimodally in noise (adaptive LIST test) using a hearing aid programmed with APD and with a bimodal formula with aligned frequency response. The ability to use temporal fine-structure (TFS) cues also was measured. Subjects with normal temporal fine-structure

capability derived significant benefit from additional low-frequency gain as prescribed by the bimodal formula. Subjects with degraded temporal fine-structure capability did not experience benefit.



AGC Alignment (Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands, Veugen et al. 2015)

Fifteen experienced bimodal subjects were tested bimodally in noise (adaptive LIST test) using a hearing aid programmed with a standard Phonak AGC (syllabic) and an AGC aligned with the Naída CI processor. With target speech from the front, the aligned AGC improved speech understanding over the standard Phonak AGC by 0.6-2 dB depending upon where the competing talker noise originated. When noise was presented on the hearing aid side (SONHA), a larger improvement was achieved compared to noise presented on the CI side (SONCI) or from both sides (SON+/-90). The vast majority of subjects preferred the aligned AGC over the standard AGC (only one patient preferred standard AGC). Take-home questionnaires did not show any changes over time, suggesting no effect of acclimatization. Questionnaires also indicated that the AGC-matched hearing aid was ranked significantly better for understanding one person in quiet, understanding one person in noise, and hearing the timbre of sounds.



REFERENCES

1. Dorman MF, Gifford RH, Spahr AJ, McKarns SA. (2008) The benefits of combining acoustic and electric stimulation for the recognition of speech, voice and melodies. *Audiology and Neurotology* 13:105-112.
2. Straatman LV, Rietveld AC, Beijen J, Mylanus EA, Mens LH. (2010) Advantage of bimodal fitting in prosody perception for children using a cochlear implant and a hearing aid. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 128:1884-1895.
3. Tyler RS, Parkinson AJ, Wilson BS, Witt S, Preece JP, Noble W. (2002) Patients utilizing a hearing aid and a cochlear implant: Speech perception and localization. *Ear and Hearing* 23:98-105.
4. Sheffield SW, Gifford RH. (2014) The benefits of bimodal hearing: effect of frequency region and acoustic bandwidth. *Audiology and Neurotology* 19(3):151-163.
5. Ching TY, van Wanrooy E, Dillon H. (2007) Binaural-bimodal fitting or bilateral implantation for managing severe to profound deafness: a review. *Trends in Amplification* 11:161-192.
6. Scherf F, Arnold LP. (2014) Exploring the clinical approach to the bimodal fitting of hearing aids and cochlear implants: results of an international survey. *Acta Otolaryngologica* 134(11):1151-1157.
7. Ching TY, Dillon H, Katsch R, Byrne D. (2001) Maximizing effective audibility in hearing aid fitting. *Ear and Hearing* 22(3): 212-224.
8. Neuman AC, Svirsky MA. (2013) Effect of hearing aid bandwidth on speech recognition performance of listeners using a cochlear implant and contralateral hearing aid (bimodal hearing). *Ear and Hearing* 34(5):553-561.
9. Zhang T, Dorman MF, Gifford R, Moore BCJ. (2014) Cochlear dead regions constrain the benefit of combining acoustic stimulation with electric stimulation. *Ear and Hearing* 35: 410-417.
10. Chalupper J, Agrawal S, Fredelake S, Spahr T. Rationale and implementation of a bimodal fitting formula. Presented at the Conference on Implantable Auditory Prostheses, Lake Tahoe, CA, 14-19 July 2013. Figshare: <http://dxdoi.org/106084/m9figshare1340020> 2015.
11. Govaerts PJ, Weekers K, Chalupper J, Scherf F, De Ceulaer G. Preliminary results of a bimodal fitting formula. Presented at 13th International Conference on Cochlear Implants and Other Implantable Auditory Technologies, Munich, Germany, June 18-21, 2014.
12. Veugen LC, Chalupper J, Snik AF, van Opstal AJ, Mens LH. (2015) Matching automatic gain control across devices in bimodal cochlear implant users. *Ear and Hearing* 37: 260-270.

Advanced Bionics AG

Laubisrütistrasse 28, 8712 Stäfa, Switzerland

T: +41.58.928.78.00

F: +41.58.928.78.90

info.switzerland@AdvancedBionics.com

Advanced Bionics LLC

28515 Westinghouse Place
Valencia, CA 91355, United States

T: +1.877.829.0026

T: +1.661.362.1400

F: +1.661.362.1500

info.us@AdvancedBionics.com

For information on additional AB locations, please visit

AdvancedBionics.com/contact

* The Phonak Naída™ Link hearing aid is not yet available in all regions.
Please contact your Sales Representative for approval status in your region.